Influence of a Debris Layer on the Melting of Ice on Lirung Glacier, Langtang Valley, Rasuwa, Nepal
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ABSTRACT

This paper provides information about the variation of ice ablation rate underneath the highly heterogeneous debris layer on Lirung Glacier in Langtang Valley, Rasuwa district, Nepal. Ice melt under a debris cover has been commonly modelled using two approaches: physically-based energy-balance models and more empirical temperature-index models. Energy Balance Model (EMB) was used at the point scale to calculate melt under a debris-covered glacier. Because of the high heterogeneity of the surface layer, the ablation rate varies throughout the glacier. The average value of thermal resistance (R) in association with the meteorological variables is found to be sufficient enough to give the consistent value of ablation of glacier ice underneath the debris layer. Solar radiation is the only dominant heat flux which contributes to melting of ice under the debris cover with a little contribution of sensible heat flux in dawn because of the heat storage phenomenon of the debris. In spite of several simplifications, the model performs well and modelled melt rates give a good match to observed melt rates. Thus for accurate distributed melt modelling at different locations of the debris covered glacier it is important to considered the effects of both the external variables and the physical properties of the debris material, which in turn gives estimates of the amount of discharge from the glacier, an important component of the local water resources.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The expansion of insulating debris mantles across glacier ablation zones feeds back negatively on melt rates (Mihalcea et al., 2006). This is important for forecasting the glacier response to climate change on a decadal scale and its impacts on the runoff of mountain regions (Bozhinskii et al., 1986). Debris-covered glaciers are common in alpine environments such as the Himalaya, the Peruvian Andes and the Southern Alps of New Zealand (Benn et al., 2004). Glacier termini are commonly characterized by the presence of large quantities of debris, existing...
both within and on top of the glacier ice. The
surface debris cover largely controls the rates
of ice melt which, in turn, affects the mode
of formation of glacially deposited landforms
(Nakawo and Young, 1981). Quantitative
assessment of ablation rate under a debris layer
can also be significant for studies of glacier
mass balance (Inoue, 1977), glacier dynamics
(Glazyrin, 1975; Nakawo, 1979), and glacial
history (Bondarev, 1961; Kite and Reid, 1977;
Whalley, 1979).

With the current warming climate, the number
of debris-covered glaciers and also the
magnitude of debris cover on single glaciers
seem to be increasing in most of the world’s
mountain regions. The ablation rate under the
debris layer is a function of external variables
including radiation and air temperature, as well
as physical characteristics of the layer such as
thickness, albedo, and thermal conductivity. The
effect of the debris layer on ablation of
 glacier ice should be studied in association
with these variables. Observations have been
made to evaluate the effect of a debris layer
on melting of glacier ice, snow, and lake ice
(Østrem, 1959; Wijngaarden, 1961; Loomis,
1970; Moribayashi and Higuchi, 1972;
Few studies, however, have considered the
effects of both the external variables and the
physical properties of the debris material
(Reid and Brock, 2010; Mihalcea et al., 2006;
Nicholson and Benn, 2006). Determination
of the debris thermal properties, temperature
and humidity values needed for modelling
melt beneath debris covers is problematic,
while little is known about the impact of
variation in atmospheric stability, and the role
of evaporation and condensation in the debris
surface energy balance (Nicholson and Benn,
2006; Brock et al., 2007). Empirical degree-
day approaches are normally used (Rana et al.,
1995; Kayastha et al., 2000; Mihalcea et al.,
2006; Singh et al., 2006; Hagg et al., 2008)
owing to limited data availability in remote
mountain locations and poor knowledge
of key processes. Accurate melt modelling
at different locations of the debris covered
glacier is important to understand the effect
of a highly variable debris layer on melt
and thus improve the distributed modelling,
which in turn gives estimates of the amount
of discharge from the glacier, an important
component of the local water resources. As
the melting process in this area is complex,
usually the melting component is not included
in the river runoff process. This suggests that
the evaluation of ice melt under debris cover
is important for runoff modeling of glacierised
drainage basins (Rana et al., 1995). Nakawo
and Young (1982) have shown that glacier
melt under debris layer can be estimated
from surface temperature and meteorological
variables. Heat budget considerations with a
debris layer on top of glacier ice suggested that
‘thermal resistance’ of the debris layer could
be estimated from surface temperature and
the heat fluxes at the debris surface, and the
ablation rate of the underlying glacier ice from
the thermal resistance and meteorological data
(Nakawo and Rana, 1999).

The aim of this paper is to report observed
melt rates of glacier ice under varying debris
thickness, and comparison of the observed
results with the calculated results from
physically based energy balance model. In this
study, we concentrated on the melt regime of
the ablation area because this is the zone of
the glacier that is most contributing to glacier
runoff.
2. THE STUDY SITE

This study is carried out on Lirung Glacier (28°15’N, 85°32’E) (Figure 1) which lies in Langtang National Park in Rasuwa district with the total area of 1710 km2. The elevation ranges from the terminus at 4000 m a.s.l. to Mt. Langtang Lirung peak at 7234 m a.s.l. and is located northwest of the last permanently inhabited village of Kyangjing (3857 m a.s.l.). In the Langtang Valley, there are 18 debris-covered glaciers such as the Lirung Glacier and 59 clean-type glaciers such as the Yala Glacier (Asahi, 1998). The Langtang catchment area is 353.89 km2. The Lirung Glacier is the fourth largest debris covered glacier in this catchment with the area covering about 13.35 km2 of which 3.30 km2 is accumulation area, 1.42 km2 is ablation area and 7.42 km2 is rock part. It consists of a very steep accumulation area, ablation area covered with thick mantle of debris (>50 cm) which is detached with the accumulation area, followed by a proglacial valley with several small ponds and one large proglacial pond which is disconnected from the glacier terminus. From this pond the melt water flows out into a steep stream downwards, entering the Langtang River down in the valley.

3. MATERIALS AND METHOD

3.1. Field Measurement

A glacio-meteorological experiment was carried out from May to October 2012, spanning three seasonal period i.e. Period I (7th May - 16th June, 2012), Period II (17th June - 19th September, 2012) and Period III (20th September- 25th October, 2012) for which some instruments were set up. The setup consisted of one Automatic Weather Station (AWS); 9 ablation stakes along the glacier in correspondence to different debris thicknesses ranging from 6 – 40 cm, a thermometer chain to measure the temperature profile of a debris layer; 3 tiny-tags to measure temperature on the top and bottom of the debris layer for different debris thicknesses and 14 temperature sensors to measure air temperature at 2 m above the debris layer, 7 of them with an additional sensor on the surface.

3.1.1. Meteorological data

Meteorological measurements were carried out using AWS which is centrally located (i.e. 28.23489°N and 85.561361°E) on the debris covered ablation zone of Lirung Glacier at 4195 m a.s.l. (Figure 2). The debris thickness on that location could not be determined as it exceeded 1 m. The AWS measured 5 minutes records of the following meteorological and surface variables: air temperature (°C) above 2 m, relative humidity (%), wind speed (ms⁻¹), wind direction (°), incoming and outgoing shortwave
radiation (Wm\(^{-2}\)) and surface temperature (°C) for the whole measurement period. Data were measured every 5 second and every 5 minute averages stored on respective sensors. All measurements were taken at a height of 2 m. The AWS is therefore representative of the surface and meteorological conditions of the glacier ablation area at that point. All meteorological data were averaged into hourly means and used as input to the melt models. There are some data gaps on 12 May, 2012 due to the malfunctioning of the AWS.

3.1.2. Ablation data (Lowering)

Ablation data were obtained using bamboo ablation stakes. In total 9 ablation stakes along the glacier (Figure 3) in correspondence to different debris thicknesses ranging from 6 – 40 cm were installed in order to analyze the influence of debris on melt for different thicknesses. Direct measurements of surface lowering at the ablation stakes were done during May (installation period), late June, early September and late October.

3.2. Energy balance calculation

The energy balance equation on top of a debris layer can be expressed as:

\[ Q_C = Q_R + Q_H + Q_E + Q_p \]  

where \( Q_C \), \( Q_R \), \( Q_H \), \( Q_E \) and \( Q_p \) are conductive heat flux through the debris, net radiation flux, sensible heat flux, latent heat flux and heat contributed by precipitation. The net radiation flux is the sum of net shortwave (\( S_{net} \)) and longwave radiation (\( L_{net} \)) fluxes.
3.2.1. Solar shortwave radiation

The net shortwave radiation is computed as the difference between incoming \((S \downarrow)\) and reflected \((S \uparrow)\) solar shortwave radiation data at the debris surface.

\[
S_{\text{net}} = S \downarrow - S \uparrow
\]  

(2)

Alternatively, if data for the debris albedo is available then we can use

\[
S_{\text{net}} = S \downarrow (1 - \alpha_d)
\]  

(3)

where \(\alpha_d\) is the debris albedo.

3.2.2. Longwave radiation

Dilley and O’Brian (1998) and Unsworth and Monteith (1975) present equations to calculate and parameterize the incoming longwave radiation.

\[
L \downarrow = \varepsilon_s \sigma T_a^4
\]  

(4)

where \(\varepsilon_s\) is the apparent emissivity of the sky (Unsworth and Monteith, 1975) \(\sigma\) is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant \((5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4})\), and \(T_a\) is the air temperature in Kelvin near the surface (typically 2 m).

Outgoing longwave radiation is calculated from the Stefan–Boltzmann law:

\[
L \uparrow = -\varepsilon_d \sigma T_s^4
\]  

(5)

where \(\varepsilon_d\) is the debris surface emissivity, \(T_s\) is the surface temperature in Kelvin and \(\sigma\) is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant \((5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4})\).

The net longwave radiation \(L_{\text{net}}\) is calculated as the difference between incoming and outgoing longwave radiation.

\[
L_{\text{net}} = L \downarrow - L \uparrow
\]  

(6)

3.2.3. Turbulent heat fluxes

The convective or turbulent heat fluxes \(Q_h\) and \(Q_e\) are estimated using the bulk aerodynamic method:

\[
Q_h = \beta u (T_a - T_s)
\]  

(7)

\[
Q_e = \beta u L_e \frac{0.622}{P_c} (e_a - e_s)
\]  

(8)

where \(\beta\) is the bulk transfer coefficient \((4.9 \text{ J m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\), \(u\) is wind speed \((\text{m s}^{-1})\); \(L_e\) is the latent heat of evaporation \((2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ J kg}^{-1})\); \(P\) is atmospheric pressure \((\text{hPa})\); \(c_p\) is the specific heat of air at constant pressure \((1005 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ °C}^{-1})\); \(e_a\) is vapour pressure of the air \((\text{hPa})\); \(e_s\) is saturation vapour pressure at the surface \((\text{hPa})\).

3.2.4. Heat flux due to precipitation

The heat flux due to precipitation \(Q_p\) is often ignored in glacier studies because it tends to be extremely small, but in this study it is taken under consideration to see its effect on melting of glacier especially during monsoon period. The heat flux due to precipitation is calculated in a similar manner to Hay and Fitzharris (1988).

\[
Q_p = \rho_w c_w w (T_r - T_s)
\]  

(9)

where \(\rho_w\) is the density of water \((999.7 \text{ kg m}^{-3})\), \(c_w\) is the specific heat capacity of water \((4181.3 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ °C}^{-1})\), \(w\) is the rainfall rate in \(\text{m s}^{-1}\) and \(T_r\) is the rain temperature, which in the absence of complete information is set equal to the measured air temperature, \(T_a\) (e.g. Reid and Brock, 2010). Our study considers only the effect of precipitation heat flux at the top of the debris layer, where the surface energy balance is defined hence neglecting the phenomenon like evaporation and percolation of rain through the debris layer.

A linear variation of temperature is assumed in the debris layer (Kayastha et al., 2000),

\[
Q_C = \frac{T_s}{R}
\]  

(10)

Where \(T_s\) is the debris surface temperature relative to melting \((0^\circ \text{C})\) and \(R\) \((\text{m}^2 \text{ °C}^{-1} \text{ W}^{-1})\) is the effective thermal resistance of the debris layer.
The heat used for ice ablation \(Q_c\) is calculated as,
\[
Q_c = L_f \rho_i r
\]  
(11)

Where \(L_f\) is the latent heat of ice \((334 \times 10^3 \text{ J kg}^{-1})\), \(\rho_i\) is density of the ice \((900 \text{ kg m}^{-3})\) and \(r\) is ablation rate of ice in specific debris thickness \((\text{m s}^{-1})\).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Meteorological and Surface Condition

We first looked at the variability and characteristics of air temperature above 2 m from the debris surface and surface temperature during three periods. Over the period of record, the daily maximum air temperature is 11.02 °C observed during the Period I and daily minimum air temperature is -0.33 °C observed during the Period III.

Figure 4.a shows the hourly measurements of air and surface temperature over the entire measurement periods. It can be clearly seen that surface temperature is always higher than that of the air temperature and both shows similar pattern of variation. However, the surface temperature has much large diurnal oscillation than the air temperature.

![Figure 4: Hourly measurements of meteorological condition at the location of AWS on Lirung Glacier averaged over the entire period of record (a) Air (Ta) and surface (Ts) temperature (°C), (b) Incoming shortwave radiation (W m\(^{-2}\)), (c) Wind Speed (m s\(^{-1}\)) and (d) Relative Humidity (%).](image)

In this study, the day was divided into day (08:00 - 19:55) and night (20:00 - 07:55) to learn the behaviour of atmospheric variables during day and night. During the day, the surface temperature remains at higher than the air temperature but as the evening commence the surface temperature starts to decrease and eventually drops below the air temperature from 2:00 to 6:00 at AWS (Figure 5). This is due to the fact that the debris stores heat during the day time and release it slowly during the cold night time. So the surface temperature continues to decrease until the radiations from the sun starts to heat up the debris surface again at 08:00. It is also clear that the surface temperature undergoes higher variations over the day with a higher peak during the day and a lower temperature during night.

Analysis of incoming solar radiation data confirms that the mean daily maximum value of incoming solar radiation recorded to be 68.96% of the solar constant \((I_o = 1367 \text{ Wm}^{-2})\). The average incoming shortwave radiation at AWS over the entire measurement period is 221.06 Wm\(^{-2}\). As expected, the incoming solar radiation decreases during monsoon but the air temperature increases (Figure 4.a and b) because of the cloud cover effect. The debris
surface emits the longwave radiation (outgoing) to the atmosphere which is absorbed by the clouds formed during the monsoon which again tends to emit longwave radiation (incoming) part of which finds its way back to the glacier surface and hence increases the air temperature.

Analysis of wind speed shows that the maximum wind speed occurs around 12:00 – 14:00 during all seasons and all of them exhibit diurnal variations. During monsoon period, wind speed is lower compared to other dry periods (Figure 4.c). It may be due to the lower temperature deficit between the atmosphere and the glacier surface during this period.

Daily mean of relative humidity during the monsoon season is very high compared to other dry periods with its maximum value 98.40% due to the precipitation event during that period (Figure 4.d). Mean daily minima relative humidity over the period of measurement was found during Period III with its value 29.32%.

Wind direction frequency at AWS is shown in Figure 6. The katabatic or glacier wind starts to develop and is felt only during night time (23:00 – 08:00) whereas valley wind dominates during the day time. This is due to increase in temperature gradient during the night time when the heat stored by the debris during day time is released back to the atmosphere and hence warming up the air temperature. During the day, the sun heats up air in contact with the debris surface rapidly. This causes it to rise, causing a warm, upslope wind (valley wind). At night, the process is reversed. Air at the top of mountain cools rapidly at night and fall downslope, causing a wind going in the other direction (Katabatic wind).

The katabatic wind during monsoon season is felt less (Figure 7). The predominant wind direction is equal to the up-glacier (Valley wind) direction for most of the day apart from the 23:00 – 08:00 time of day. During cloudy days (Period II case), the temperature deficit
is much lower between the top of mountain (where katabatic wind develops) and the glacier surface. So wind won’t blow along the glacier flow line.

Katabatic force is created by the temperature contrast between the melting glacier surface and the ambient atmosphere, and a higher temperature contrast leads to stronger katabatic forces (Greuell et al., 1997). This is why katabatic winds are normally observed in the afternoon hours, following the hours of maximum air temperature (e.g. van den Broeke, 1997; Greuell and Böhm, 1998; Greuell and Smeets, 2001; Klok et al., 2005).

Albedo is calculated as ratio of five minute reflected solar radiation and incoming solar radiation. For distributed modeling we need to parameterize the albedo as it is not constant in time and space (Figure 8). Albedo for Period I, II and III are calculated to be 0.11, 0.09 and 0.12 respectively.

The very high summer precipitation has an important effect on the albedo of debris-covered glaciers. Albedo decreases during the monsoon season. It is because precipitation during monsoon in the form of rain or snow (eventually melt to water due to heat conduction from the debris), when comes in contact with the debris surface, makes it darker in color. This phenomenon decreases the albedo of the debris thus absorbing much more solar radiation during the monsoon season.
4.2. Effects of debris thickness on of Ice ablation

Surface temperature is measured only at AWS site, so we made use of the same surface temperature for all the debris thickness to calculate the melt. This assumption of homogenous surface temperature is acceptable since the melt rate calculated for certain debris thickness is found similar to the calculation done by Kayastha et al. (2000) for Khumbu Glacier and Rana et al. (1995) for Lirung Glacier.

Even though ablation stakes were installed at various debris thickness during 2012 field expedition, measurements were carried out at the interval of about one month for the whole measurement period. The instability and heterogeneity nature of the debris covered glacier makes this kind of measurement full of uncertainties. Over the course of the measurement periods, differential ablation, collapse of the ice faces, slumping and scree-fall down slopes gradually redistributes the debris over time resulting in local changes in debris thickness. So it is impossible to determine the actual melt amounts or debris thickness on each day. Hence, daily ablation at each site was estimated from the measured change in ice surface from the beginning to the end of the month, divided by the number of days of the measurement period.

Melt rate calculated from the energy balance equation is higher than the measured value (Figure 9) by ~0.19 cm day$^{-1}$ for different debris thickness. Similar result was observed by Kayastha and others (2000) through continuous measurements over the course of the day, and found calculated values of melt rate beneath a 0.1m thick debris layer up to double those measured in the field. This overestimation is reasonable because the assumption of an instantaneously linear temperature gradient does not account for the inversion of the temperature gradient that occurs at night, at least in the near subsurface, which results in heat flux towards the debris surface rather than towards the ice, such that initial energy inputs are used to raise the debris temperature rather than to melt ice (Nicholson and Benn, 2006). This can be explained by the fact that only variability comes from the surface temperature measured at the AWS site by setting the thermal conductivity to its mean value for each periods (I, II and III).

Adhikary et al. (2002) tested the sensitivity of aggregation of dust particles, assuming no aggregation of dust particles particularly for low dust concentration and found higher estimated ablation rate of snow compared with the actual observation. Similar assumption, i.e. the existence of aggregation of dust particles on a melting ice surface, has been taken into account in this study which explains the overestimated melt rate.

However there is no significant difference between these melt rate. This result indicate that the melt rates of debris-covered glaciers in the Himalayas could be calculated to a reasonable degree of accuracy using only variability in
surface temperature when thermal conductivity is known for the specific site. Some of the measurements from the ablation stakes does not make any sense, so reading from these stakes are discarded.

More melt even at thick debris thickness (10 and 29 cm) can be explained by the location of the stakes (stake 5 and 2 respectively) on the glacier (Figure 3 and 10). These stakes were installed near the glacier terminus which is active due to the ice exposure and melting of several ice cliffs around them which result into wash down of the debris during monsoon period decreasing its thickness and hence more melt. Upon excluding the melt obtain from debris thickness of 10 cm and 29 cm, the curve shown in Figure 10.b corresponds with the curve obtain by Kayastha et al. (2000) for Khumbu Glacier.

The energy balance method is used to demonstrate the importance of each energy fluxes responsible for ice melt underneath the debris layer. For this the energy balance components are calculated only for AWS site since continuous surface temperature is available only for this site. The variation of energy balance components are shown in Figure 11. Figure 11 shows the main energy source is the net radiation, which contributes near 100% of total energy available for melting of ice under debris cover. Due to the higher surface temperature than the air temperature and evaporation from the wet debris, all energy balance components are negative except shortwave radiation.

The significance of each surface heat fluxes during dry and wet period is considered in Figure 12 which shows the mean daily cycles of surface heat fluxes for all three measurement periods. It is clear from this figure that the net radiation is the only dominant source of melt during the day time and other fluxes act as a sink but during night there is a little contribution of sensible heat flux to the melt process during two dry periods (about 1.2% in period I and 4.1% in period III) whereas the turbulent flux like the latent heat, heat due to precipitation and net longwave radiation remains negative throughout
the time of day. This can be explained with the fact previously mentioned about the heat storage and release phenomenon in the debris during the day and night time respectively.

5. CONCLUSION

The simple model used in this paper develops a method of estimating ice ablation under a supraglacial debris cover with high degree of accuracy when the variability comes only from surface temperature data. The model assume daily mean temperature gradients to be linear and there is negligible net change in heat storage on diurnal timescales. Despite numerous simplification, taking the average value of thermal resistance (R) into account, the proposed method gave the consistent value of melt. However, the effect of the debris layer on ablation of glacier ice should be studied in association with meteorological variables. Solar radiation is the only dominant heat flux which contributes to melting of ice under the debris cover with a little contribution of sensible heat flux in dawn because of the heat storage phenomenon of the debris. Nevertheless, further efforts must be made through a series of studies to improve and justify the model’s ability to predict ablation under thick debris cover over a longer period with cautious and detail measurements of meteorological variable (especially for sensible and latent heat flux) in the monsoon fed glaciers. The major advantage of this model is that it requires only a few data to model ablation rates for a debris-covered glacier. This could be particularly important for studies carried out in regions where there is limited availability of observed data. The main challenge, particularly in glacial modeling at a regional scale, is in acquiring information on the extent, thickness and thermal properties of supraglacial debris covers, which remains future research work.
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